## Giorgio Gambosi

#### Fully bayesian regression

We remind that, in fully bayesian regression, no specific model parameters w *∗* are identified, to be applied in prediction as

$$
y = \mathbf{w}^* \phi(\mathbf{x})
$$

Instead the distribution  $p(y|\mathbf{x})$  is derived, under the assumption of gaussianity, with

$$
p(y|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}, \Phi, \alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(y|m(\mathbf{x}), \sigma^2(\mathbf{x}))
$$

and

$$
m(\mathbf{x}) = \beta \phi(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{S}_N \Phi^T \mathbf{t}
$$

and variance

# $\sigma^2(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$

## Equivalent kernel

• The prediction *y*(x) can be returned here as the expectation of the predictive distribution

$$
y(\mathbf{x}) = \beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\Phi}^T \mathbf{t} = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_i) t_i
$$

• The prediction is not computed by referring to a set of parameters derived by optimization of a loss function. Instead, it can be seen as a linear combination of the target values *t<sup>i</sup>* of all items in the training set, with weights dependent from the item values  $x_i$  (and from  $x$ )

$$
y(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i) t_i
$$

The weight function  $\kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \beta \phi(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{S}_N \phi(\mathbf{x}')$  is said equivalent kernel

Right: plot on the plane  $(x, x_i)$  of a sample equivalent kernel, in the case of gaussian basis functions.

Left: plot as a function of  $x_i$  for three different values of  $x$ 



In deriving  $y$ , the equivalent kernel tends to assign greater relevance to the target values  $t_i$  corresponding to items *x<sup>i</sup>* near to *x*.

The same localization property holds also for different base functions.



Left,  $\kappa(0, x')$  in the case of polynomial basis functions.

Right,  $\kappa(0, x')$  in the case of gaussian basis functions.

• The covariance between  $y(x)$  and  $y(x')$  is given by

$$
cov(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = cov(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}')) = \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{S}_N \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{\beta} \kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')
$$

predicted values are highly correlated at nearby points.

• Instead of introducing base functions which results into a kernel, we may define a localized kernel directly and use it to make predictions

#### Kernel regression

- In kernel regression methods, the target value corresponding to any item x is predicted by referring to items in the training set, and in particular to the items which are closer to x.
- This is controlled by referring to a kernel function  $\kappa_h(\mathbf{x})$ , which is non zero only in an interval around 0
- *h* is the bandwidth of the kernel, which controls the width of  $\kappa_h(\mathbf{x})$

A possible, common kernel, is the gaussian (or RBF) kernel

$$
g(\mathbf{x})=e^{-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}}{2h^{2}}}
$$



In regression, we are interested in estimating the conditional expectation

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) = E[t|\mathbf{x}] = \int p(t|\mathbf{x}) t dt = \int \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, t)}{p(\mathbf{x})} t dt = \frac{\int p(\mathbf{x}, t) t dt}{p(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\int p(\mathbf{x}, t) t dt}{\int p(\mathbf{x}, t) dt}
$$

The joint distribution  $p(x, t)$  is approximated by means of a kernel function as

$$
p(\mathbf{x},t) \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_h(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i) \kappa_h(t-t_i)
$$

This results into

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\int \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_t (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i) \kappa_h (t - t_i) t dt}{\int \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_h (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i) \kappa_h (t - t_i) dt} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_h (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i) \int \kappa_h (t - t_i) t dt}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_h (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i) \int \kappa_h (t - t_i) dt}
$$

If we assume that the kernel  $\kappa(x)$  is a probability distribution with 0 mean, it results  $\int \kappa_h(t-t_i)dt = 1$  and  $\int t\kappa_h(t-t_i)dt = t_i$ , we get

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i) t_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)}
$$

By setting

$$
w_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\kappa_h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j)}
$$

we can write

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(\mathbf{x}) t_i
$$

that is, the predicted value is computed as a normalized linear combination of all target values, weighted by kernels (Nadaraya-Watson)

## Locally weighted regression

In Nadaraya-Watson model, the prediction is performed by means of a normalized weighted combination of constant values (target values in the training set).

Locally weighted regression (LOESS) improves that approach by referring to a weighted version of the sum of squared differences loss function used in regression.

If a value *t* has to be predicted for an item x, a "local" version of the loss function is considered, with weight  $\kappa_i(\mathbf{x})$ .

$$
L(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{w}^T \overline{\mathbf{x}}_i - t_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i) (\mathbf{w}^T \overline{\mathbf{x}}_i - t_i)^2
$$

Weights  $\kappa_i(\mathbf{x})$  are dependent from the "distance" between x and  $\mathbf{x}_i$ , as measured by the kernel function

$$
\kappa_i(\mathbf{x}) = \kappa_h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)
$$

The minimization of this loss function

$$
\hat{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_i(\mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{w}^T \overline{\mathbf{x}}_i - t_i)^2
$$

has solution

$$
\hat{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}) = (\overline{\mathbf{X}}^T \Psi(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{X}}^T \Psi(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{t}
$$

where  $\Psi(\mathbf{x})$  is a diagonal  $n \times n$  matrix with  $\Psi(\mathbf{x})_{ii} = \kappa_i(\mathbf{x})$ .

The prediction is then performed as usual, as

$$
y = \hat{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x})^T \overline{\mathbf{x}}
$$

## Local logistic regression

The same approach applied in the case of local regression can be applied for classification, by defining a weighted loss function to be minimized, with weights dependent from the item whose target must be predicted.

In this case, a weighted version of the cross entropy function is considered, which has to be maximized

$$
L(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)(t_i \log p_i - (1 - t_i) \log(1 - p_i))
$$

with  $p_i = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \overline{\mathbf{x}}_i)$ , as usual.

The loss function minimization can be performed, for example, by applying a suitable modification of the IRLS algorithm for logistic regression

### Recap: some properties of Gaussian distribution

In order to introduce Gaussian processes and how they can be exploited for regression, let us first provide a short reminder on some properties of multivariate gaussian distributions.

Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^T$  be a random vector with gaussian distribution  $p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  and let  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$  be a partition of the components x such that:

- $\mathbf{x}_A = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)^T$
- $\mathbf{x}_B = (x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_n)^T$

Then, the marginal densities  $p(\mathbf{x}_A)$  and  $p(\mathbf{x}_B)$  are both gaussian with means  $\mu_A, \mu_B$  and covariance matrices  $\Sigma_A, \Sigma_B$  which can be derived from  $\mu$ ,  $\Sigma$  by observing that

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\boldsymbol{\mu}_A, \boldsymbol{\mu}_B)^T \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_A & \Sigma_{AB} \\ \Sigma_{AB}^T & \Sigma_B \end{pmatrix}
$$

In the same situation, the conditional densities  $p(\mathbf{x}_A|\mathbf{x}_B)$  and  $p(\mathbf{x}_B|\mathbf{x}_A)$  are also gaussian with means

$$
\mu_{A|B} = \mu_A + \sum_{AB} \sum_B^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_B - \mu_B)
$$
  

$$
\mu_{B|A} = \mu_B + \sum_{BA} \sum_A^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_A - \mu_A)
$$

and covariance matrices

$$
\Sigma_{A|B} = \Sigma_A - \Sigma_{AB} \Sigma_B^{-1} \Sigma_{BA}
$$

$$
\Sigma_{B|A} = \Sigma_B - \Sigma_{BA} \Sigma_A^{-1} \Sigma_{AB}
$$

Gaussian processes

- Multivariate gaussians on random vectors are useful for modeling finite collections of real-valued variables. They have nice analytical properties (see previous slides).
- Gaussian processes: extension of multivariate gaussians to infinite-sized collections of real-valued variables.
- We may think of gaussian processes as distributions not just over random vectors but over random real functions.

#### Probability distributions over functions with finite domains

Let us first consider the case of functions defined over finite vectors.

- Let  $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$  be a vector of *m* points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and let  $\mathcal H$  be the set of functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ 
	- **–** any such functions assigns a value *f*(x*i*) to each x*<sup>i</sup> ∈* X and can be described by the vector (*f*(x1)*, . . . , f*(x*m*))
	- $−$  at the same time, any vector  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_m)$  can be seen as the description of a function  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , the one with  $f(\mathbf{x}_i) = y_i$
	- hence, the set  $\mathcal{H}$  is in 1-to-1 correspondence with the set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$
- A probability distribution  $p(y), y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is also a distribution  $p(f)$  of functions in  $\mathcal H$

### Gaussian distributions over functions with finite domains

We assume that  $p(y)$  (or, equivalently,  $p(f)$ ) is a (multivariate, *m*-dimensional) Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and diagonal covariance matrix  $\sigma^2 I$ , that is

$$
p(f|\mathbf{X};\sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(f|\mathbf{X};\mathbf{0},\sigma^2\mathbf{I}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{f(\mathbf{x}_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}}
$$

- This is equivalent to assuming that each function value  $y_i = f(\mathbf{x}_i)$  has normal distribution with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ , and that values are independent
- A dependence between function values at different points could be modeled through a non-diagonal covariance matrix

Gaussian distributions over functions with finite domains

In the figure below, a possible situation is given with  $d = 1$ ,  $m = 4$ : three functions in *H* are reported.



Gaussian distributions over functions with finite domains

• Assume now that the targets  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_m)$  corresponding to points in **X** are available.

• Observe that  $p(f|\mathbf{X}; \sigma^2)$  is only dependent on the set of items **X**, and does not take into account the corresponding targets t. We may than consider it as a prior distribution of functions, with respect to the observation of the targets t associated to X

Gaussian distributions over functions with finite domains

• By applying Bayes rule, we may derive the posterior (with respect to t) distribution  $p(f|\mathbf{X}, t)$  of functions. To this aim, a likelihood model has to be defined

$$
p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{t}|f) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} p(\mathbf{x}_i, t_i|f(\mathbf{x}_i)) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} p(t_i|\mathbf{x}_i, f(\mathbf{x}_i))p(\mathbf{x}_i|f(\mathbf{x}_i)) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{m} p(t_i|\mathbf{x}_i, f(\mathbf{x}_i))
$$

• we refer to the usual gaussian likelihood introduced for probabilistic modeling linear regression  $p(t|\mathbf{x}, y, \beta)$  =  $\mathcal{N}(t|f(\mathbf{x}), \beta)$ , which results into

$$
p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{t}|f, \beta) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{N}(t_i|f(\mathbf{x}_i), \beta)
$$

• the posterior distribution is then

$$
p(f|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{t}, \beta, \sigma^2) \propto \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{N}(t_i|f(\mathbf{x}_i), \beta)p(f|\sigma^2)
$$

Gaussian distributions over functions with finite domains

Both the prior and the posterior distributions of *f* are gaussian: this implies that the predictive distribution

$$
p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{t}, \beta, \sigma^2) = \int p(t|\mathbf{x}, f, \beta) p(f|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{t}, \beta, \sigma^2) df
$$

is itself a gaussian.

That would the case also in the more general case when some dependency between function points is assumed. In this case, a general covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  is defined for the prior distribution

$$
p(\mathbf{y}; \Sigma) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)
$$

that is,

$$
p(f|\mathbf{X}; \Sigma) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{0}, \Sigma)
$$

Gaussian distributions over functions with infinite domains

- In the case of an infinite domain  $\chi$ , we have to deal with an infinite collection of random variables.
- In this case, the role of multidimensional distributions is covered by stochastic processes.
	- $−$  A *stochastic process* is a collection of random variables,  ${f(x) : x ∈ χ}$ , indexed by elements from some set X, known as the index set.
- A Gaussian process is a stochastic process such that for any finite subset  $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  of  $\chi$ , the function values  $f(\mathbf{x}_1), \ldots, f(\mathbf{x}_n)$  have joint multivariate Gaussian distribution

### Gaussian distributions over functions with infinite domains

In order to specify the gaussian process, we must introduce two rules which, for any set of points  $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ , define the distribution  $p(\mathbf{y})$  of the corresponding values  $y_1, \ldots, y_n = f(\mathbf{x}_1), \ldots, f(\mathbf{x}_n)$ .

- We already know that, by assumption,  $p(f) = p(y)$  is a multivariate normal distribution, hence characterized by a mean vector  $\mu(X)$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma(X)$
- We assume that  $\mu(X)$  is indeed a constant independent from X. In particular,  $\mu(X) = 0$
- The covariance matrix derives from the application of a predefined covariance function  $\kappa : \chi \times \chi \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  which associates a real value to any pair of points in  $\chi$  and, in particular, to any pair in **X**, hence to all elements of  $\Sigma$

#### Kernels in gaussian processes

The covariance function  $\kappa$  is assumed to be a positive definite kernel.

• This means that for any set of distinct points  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  it must be

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_i c_j \kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) > 0
$$

for any choice of the constants  $c_1, \ldots, c_n$  such that not all  $c_i$  are equal to 0.

• Equivalently, the square Gram matrix *G* defined as

$$
G = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1) & \kappa(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \kappa(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_n) \\ \kappa(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1) & \kappa(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \kappa(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_n) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \kappa(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_1) & \kappa(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \kappa(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n) \end{pmatrix}
$$

must have positive eigenvalues.

• A collection of positive definite kernels is known in the literature and can be constructed by applying suitable rules.

#### Gaussian processes

Given a gaussian process  $p(f) = \mathcal{GP}(m, \kappa)$ , then for any set of items  $\mathbf{X} = {\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n}$ , the distribution of  $f(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, f(\mathbf{x}_n)$ is a gaussian

$$
(f(\mathbf{x}_1),\ldots,f(\mathbf{x}_n)) \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{X})|\Sigma(\mathbf{X}))
$$

where

- $\mu(X) = (m(x_1), \ldots, m(x_n))^T$
- $\Sigma(X)$  is the Gram matrix wrt  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  of a kernel function  $\kappa(x, x')$

As stated before, it is usually assumed that the mean vector is 0: different processes are then characterized only by their covariance kernel *κ*.

Sampling functions from gaussian processes Given  $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ , a probability distribution on  $f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_n)$  is then defined, as

$$
p(f|\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{N}(f|\mathbf{0}, \Sigma(\mathbf{X}))
$$

where, as stated before

$$
\Sigma(\mathbf{X})_{ij} = \kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)
$$

For any finite subset  $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  of  $\chi$  it is possible to sample from  $p(f)$  the values of  $f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_m)$  by gaussian sampling from  $\mathcal{N}(f|0, \Sigma(\mathbf{X}))$ 

RBF kernel

Clearly, different kernels provide different processes.

• One of the most applied kernel is the RBF kernel

$$
\kappa(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = \sigma^2 e^{-\frac{||\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2||^2}{2\tau^2}}
$$

which tends to assign higher covariance between  $f(\mathbf{x}_1)$  and  $f(\mathbf{x}_2)$  if  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  are nearby points.

• Functions drawn from a Gaussian process with RBF kernel tend to be smooth, since values computed for nearby points tend to be similar. Smoothing is larger for larger *τ* .

## RBF kernel

Samples of functions from  $p(f)$ . RBF kernel, larger  $\tau$  and smoothing



## RBF kernel

Samples of functions from  $p(f)$ . RBF kernel, smaller  $\tau$  and smoothing



Gaussian process regression: no noise

- By the gaussian process definition, *f* is distributed as a multivariate gaussian such that the mean of any value  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is  $m(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  and the covariance of any pair  $f(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $f(\mathbf{x}')$  is  $\kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$
- as a consequence, for any finite set of points  $X$ , we have that  $f(X)$  is distributed as a multivariate gaussian with mean  $\mu(X)$  defined as  $\mu(X)_i = m(x_i) = 0$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma(X)$ , defined as  $\Sigma(X)_{i,j} = \kappa(x_i, x_j)$



Gaussian process regression: no noise

- Let us now assume that for a set of points  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)^T$  the corresponding values  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)^T$  are known
- that is, we assume that a training set X*,*t is available, and we assume that the target values in the training set correspond exactly to the function value  $t_i = f(\mathbf{x}_i)$ , that is, there is no noise in the observations
- Note that in the probabilistic model of regression this is not true, since a (gaussian) error is assumed

## Gaussian process regression: no noise

By the model assumptions, if we consider an additional set of points  $\overline{\mathbf{X}} = (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1, \ldots, \overline{\mathbf{x}}_m)^T$ , the joint distribution of *f*(X) and *f*( $\overline{X}$ ) is a multivariate gaussian distribution with a certain mean  $\mu(X,\overline{X})$  and covariance  $\Sigma(X,\overline{X})$  that, by the properties of gaussian distributions are

$$
\mu(\mathbf{X}, \overline{\mathbf{X}}) = (\mu(\mathbf{X}), \mu(\overline{\mathbf{X}}))^T
$$

$$
\Sigma(\mathbf{X}, \overline{\mathbf{X}}) = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma(\mathbf{X}) & \Sigma(\overline{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}) \\ \Sigma(\overline{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X})^T & \Sigma(\overline{\mathbf{X}}) \end{pmatrix}
$$

where

$$
\Sigma(\overline{\mathbf{X}},\mathbf{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1,\mathbf{x}_1) & \kappa(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1,\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \kappa(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1,\mathbf{x}_n) \\ \kappa(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2,\mathbf{x}_1) & \kappa(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2,\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \kappa(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2,\mathbf{x}_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \kappa(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_m,\mathbf{x}_1) & \kappa(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_m,\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \kappa(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_m,\mathbf{x}_n) \end{pmatrix}
$$

Gaussian process regression: no noise

The posterior distribution of  $y = f(\overline{X})$ , given  $X$ , t can be derived by the gaussian distribution properties recalled above, and turns out to be a *m*-dimensional gaussian distribution itself with mean and covariance defined as

• 
$$
\overline{\mu}_p = \mu(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{t}) = \mu(\overline{\mathbf{X}}) + \Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X})\Sigma(\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{t} - \mu(\mathbf{X}))
$$

• 
$$
\overline{\Sigma}_p = \Sigma(\overline{\mathbf{X}}) - \Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X})\Sigma(\mathbf{X})^{-1}\Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X})^T
$$

Gaussian process regression: no noise Sample of functions from the posterior distribution



Gaussian process regression: no noise

In particular, for the prediction of a single test point  $x$ , the joint distribution of  $(t, f(x))$  is a multivariate gaussian distribution with mean  $\pmb{\mu}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x})$  and covariance  $\Sigma(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x})$ 

$$
\mu(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x}) = (\mu(\mathbf{X}), \mu(\mathbf{x}))^T
$$

$$
\Sigma(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma(\mathbf{X}) & \Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}) \\ \Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X})^T & \Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}
$$

where

$$
\Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}) = (\kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_1), \kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_2), \ldots, \kappa(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n))^T
$$

and

$$
\Sigma(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) = \kappa(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})
$$

Gaussian process regression: no noise

As a consequence, the predictive distribution of  $y = f(\mathbf{x})$  is

$$
m_p(y|\mathbf{X}, f) = m(\mathbf{x}) + \Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X})\Sigma(\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{t} - \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{X}))
$$

$$
\sigma^2 = \Sigma_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = \kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) - \Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X})\Sigma(\mathbf{X})^{-1}\Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X})^T
$$



Gaussian process regression: no noise

In this case, an interpolation of the given values has been performed:  $f(\mathbf{x}_i) = t_i$  for all possible functions, sampled from  $f(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{X}, f)$ .

It results, in fact, for all  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{X}$ ,

$$
m(\mathbf{x}_i|\mathbf{X}, f) = t_i
$$

$$
\sigma^2 = 0
$$

Gaussian process regression: gaussian noise Let us now assume, as usual, that  $p(t_i|f, \mathbf{x}_i) = \mathcal{N}(f(\mathbf{x}_i), \sigma_f^2)$ 

That is, the value  $t_i$  observed for variable  $x_i$  differs from the one obtained as  $f(x_i)$  by a gaussian and independent noise

$$
t_i = f(\mathbf{x}_i) + \varepsilon \qquad \qquad p(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon | 0, \sigma_f^2)
$$

that is,  $p(\mathbf{t}|f) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{t}|f, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ 

Gaussian process regression: gaussian noise

• *f* is now distributed as a multivariate gaussian with known mean  $\mu(X) = (m(x_i), \ldots, m(x_n))^T$  and covariance matrix  $\hat{\Sigma}(\mathbf{X}) = \Sigma(\mathbf{X}) + \sigma_f^2 \mathbf{I}$ , defined by  $\kappa$  and  $\sigma_f^2$ 



Gaussian process regression: gaussian noise

- Let us now assume that a training set X*,*t is available such that the target values in the training set correspond approximately to the function value  $t_i = f(\mathbf{x}_i) + \varepsilon$ .
- In this case, for any new set of points  $\overline{X}$ , the joint distribution of  $(t, f(\overline{X}))$  is a multivariate gaussian distribution with mean  $\mu(X,\overline{X})$  and covariance  $\Sigma(X,\overline{X})$

$$
\mu(\mathbf{X}, \overline{\mathbf{X}}) = (\mu(\mathbf{X}), \mu(\overline{\mathbf{X}}))^T
$$

$$
\Sigma(\mathbf{X}, \overline{\mathbf{X}}) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Sigma}(\mathbf{X}) & \Sigma(\overline{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}) \\ \Sigma(\overline{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X})^T & \Sigma(\overline{\mathbf{X}}) \end{pmatrix}
$$
where in particular  $\hat{\Sigma}(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1) + \sigma_f^2 & \kappa(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \kappa(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_n) \\ \kappa(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1) & \kappa(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_2) + \sigma_f^2 & \cdots & \kappa(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \kappa(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_1) & \kappa(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \kappa(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n) + \sigma_f^2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

#### Gaussian process regression: gaussian noise

The posterior distribution of  $y = f(\overline{X})$ , given  $X, \overline{X}$ , an be again derived by the gaussian distribution properties, and turns out again to be a gaussian distribution with mean and covariance defined as

•  $\overline{\mu}_p = \mu(\overline{X}) + \Sigma(x, X)\hat{\Sigma}(X)^{-1}(t - \mu(X))$ 

• 
$$
\overline{\Sigma} = \Sigma(\overline{\mathbf{X}}) - \Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}) \hat{\Sigma}(\mathbf{X})^{-1} \Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X})^T
$$



#### Gaussian process regression: gaussian noise

In particular, for a single test point x, we have now that the corresponding predictive distribution is



## Estimating kernel parameters

The predictive performance of gaussian processes depends exclusively on the suitability of the chosen kernel.

Let us consider the case of an RBF kernel. Then,

$$
\kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \sigma_f^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)^T \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)} + \sigma_y^2 \delta_{ij}
$$

M can be defined in several ways: the simplest one is  $M = l^{-2}I$ .

Even in this simple case, varying the values of  $\sigma_f$ ,  $\sigma_y$ , l returns quite different results.



(figure from K.Murphy "Machine learning: a probabilistic perspective" p. 519, with  $(l, \sigma_f, \sigma_y)$  equal to  $(1, 1, 0.1)$ ,  $(0.3, 1.08, 0.00005)$ ,  $(3.0, 1.16, 0.00005)$